Total Risk Score: Likelihood x Impact. Rating Key:

0-4 Green	5-14 Amber	15+ Red

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
SR1 - Financial Sus	stainability					
Changes in: RSG, Business Rates retention & growth, Council Tax limitation & collection rates, New Homes Bonus, etc. Cost pressures e.g. pensions, pay, inflation, interest rates, etc. Other unforeseen events Contracts – re- tender of the Waste Contract in 2018	Re-assessment of the range & scope of services currently provided & the Corporate Plan priorities  Short-term use of reserves  Worst case — financial failure  Critical external inspection/audit reports  Damage to reputation  Public expectations/	5-year MTFP produced  Prudent assumptions re income levels & collection rates  Budget monitoring & reporting (to FPG, Scrutiny & Cabinet)  Great Place: Great Service Programme approved  Asset Management Plan	Likely Very High (4 x 5) = Score 20	Horizon scanning to identify future pressures & opportunities (ongoing)  Rigorous budget monitoring to identify variances early & implement corrective action (quarterly)  Regularly update the assumptions in the 5-year MTFP & model the options (quarterly)  CMT/Exec Members Budget	Highly Unlikely High (1 x 4) = Score 4	Chief Finance Officer

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
	reaction  Loss of Member confidence  Loss of staff morale  Impact on Asset Management Plan  Significant increase in the cost of the waste contract			Group to Identify & implement further budget cuts required to eliminate forecast deficits (on-going)		
SR2 – Transformation	on - Programme & Pr	oject Management e.	g. Great Place: 0	Great Services		
Failure to develop & implement the required projects	Loss of expertise & or experienced staff	Transformation Strategy produced	Possible Very High	Delivery of the current savings targets	Highly Unlikely High	Business Transformation Manager
due to capacity issues, skills gaps, resistance to	Scarce resources not used effectively	Project Academy  Leisure Legacy	(3 x 5) = Score 15	CMT to prioritise resources onto cash releasing projects	(1 x 4) = Score 4	manage.
change, poor project mgmt. etc.	Budget shortfalls  Delivery timelines not adhered to	Project embedded Great Place: Great Service Programme	Score 13	Identify & secure other savings required to bridge any forecast deficit	3001E 4	
	Increase in financial costs	Staff & Union consultation		Post implementation		

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
CD2 Worldows Co	racitus 9 Carabilitus a	Political leadership & TU's meetings		reviews Training of a wider group of staff in transformation techniques		
Loss of key people within the organisation e.g. loss of corporate memory & key skills  Lack of training — due to for example budget pressures  No effective succession planning  Difficulties in recruitment & retention of key skills/staff  Lack of mid to long term planning	Inability to deliver services to the desired standard or projects effectively  Performance suffers due to low morale & job fears  Increased sickness (stress related)  Impact on staff health & well being  Financial e.g. severance costs arrangements	Workforce Strategy produced  EPD process/ Competency frameworks  Communications - Leader/CEO staff briefings, Borough Bulletin, etc.	Possible Medium (3 x 3) = Score 9	Implement the strategy (31 Mar 2015)  Identify opportunities for staff development & succession planning (31 Mar 2015)  Review of staffing (as per service plans)  Voluntary redundancy/early retirement scheme (on-gong)  Internal Comms Strategy (31 Mar 2015)	Unlikely Low (2 x 2) = Score 4	Service Managers

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
SR4 – Investment &	development of the I	CT infrastructure				
Lack of financial or staffing resources for investment  Flawed business cases  Reliance on Partner negotiations may be required	Inefficient & expensive services Project delays Public satisfaction & expectations Member frustration	ICT Strategy approved & implementation structure set up Invest-to-Save budgets approved Consultation on priorities Cost/savings sharing model developed Strategies incorporated into GP:GS	Likely Very High (4 x 5) = Score 20	Implement the Strategy & Governance arrangements  Monitor Customer Services cost/savings sharing model.	Possible Medium (3 x 4) = Score 12	Business Transformation Manager
SR5 – Emergency P	SR5 – Emergency Planning & Business Continuity					
Inadequate or untested plans in place to respond to extreme events	Loss of or damage to life or property  Financial - loss of	Robust plans in place Test exercises	Possible Medium	Continue to update plans to take account of new &	Possible Low	Emergency Planning Officer

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
(e.g. severe weather, flooding, flu pandemic)	revenue/additional costs  Disruption to services  Damage to reputation	undertaken  Commission advice from DCC  Snow Wardens Scheme  Improvements to ICT controls & back-up systems approved  Investment in ICT infrastructure	(3 x 3) = Score 9	emerging matters  Implement new ICT environmental controls & back-up arrangements  BCP's regularly reviewed & additional measures implemented	(3 x 2) = Score 6	
SR6 - Protecting th	e Public & Staff (Heal	th & Safety)				
Failure to manage the health & safety risks of the Council's undertakings  Lack of training  Budget pressures	Death or injury  Damage to property or the environment  Litigation or prosecutions  Financial - claims	Corporate H&S Group established  Preparation of Corporate H&S Policy  3 year H&S Improvement Programme agreed.	Possible High (3 x 4) = Score 12	Corporate H&S staff to undertake audits to ensure that:  a) Policies & procedures are implemented & reviewed regularly &	Unlikely High (2 x 4) = Score 8	Business Transformation Manager
Ageing	Damage to			b) The actions in the		

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
infrastructure	reputation	Service level H&S Plans.  Control of Contractors procedures & Group established.  Transport Code of Practice approved & being implemented.  Two external H&S audits		Improvement Programme are implemented.		
SR7 – Welfare Refo	rm					
Reduction in benefits and requirement to pay some Council Tax  Bedroom tax/Benefits cap  Direct payment of benefits under Universal Credit	Increasing Council Tax & housing rent arrears - lost income, cost of collection Increase in homelessness & poverty	HRA – property transfer incentives available. Using 'new burdens' grant to pay for additional resources in Revs & Benefits  Discretionary Housing Payments Policy approved.	Likely High (4 x 4) = Score 16	Additional resources for income collection & tenant support (ongoing)	Possible High (3 x 4) = Score 12	Housing Management & Chief Finance Officer

Version 1.0 December 2014 6

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
SR8 – Information 0	Governance (Data Sec	curity)				
Failure to gain PSN compliance  Data Breach	Data exchange with Government departments restricted Reputation damage Financial loss Service disruption	Arvato to work through the compliance tests  Project team – Info Security Working Group - reviewed & updated IT security audit  Data Protection & Freedom of Information Policies & Procedures	Unlikely High (2 x 4) = Score 8	Project team to develop & implement:  PSN compliance action plan  IT Health check action plan  Annual review	Unlikely High (2 x 4) = Score 8	Law Manager
SR9 - Contract Mar	nagement					
Failure to deliver Council contracts	Financial impact (valuable funding is used for rectification issues)  Increase in staff resource to defend the challenge  Potential litigation &	Dedicated procurement & legal team to support where necessary on contract management  Policies & procedures in place	Possible High (3 x 4) = Score 12	Further training in contract management	Possible High (3 x 4) = Score 12	Business Transformation Manager

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
	The Council does not receive value for money  Discouraged providers may not tender for the contract in the future – potentially reducing the portfolio of providers	Scheme of delegation & guidance available  Staff have been trained				
SR10 - Political Lan	dscape (National & L	ocal)				
Change in political landscape at a National & local level e.g. General Election (May 2015)	Change in Government Policy  Change in political make up locally leading to instability - change in direction  Implication in terms of future positioning of the Council e.g. Combined	Keep up to date of developments in the political arena e.g. party conferences	Possible Medium (3 x 3) = Score 9	Assess impact in terms of future policy changes (as a result of government announcements).  Lobby through the LGA where appropriate	Possible  Medium  (3 x 3) =  Score 9	CE

CAUSE	EFFECT	ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED/DATE	TARGET RISK RATING LIKELIHOOD /RISK IMPACT/DATE	RISK LEAD
	Authorities, LEP's, City Region discussions  Potential to undermine the Council's corporate plan					
SR11 – Key Partners	ships (e.g. PPP, Veoli	a)				
Partnerships not delivered as promised  Breakdown in a key partnership relations	Reputation damage  Loss of Trust  Service disruption	Strategic Board in place for PPP Client function & supporting key PI's Usual contract management arrangements	Possible High (3 x 4) = Score 12	Negotiations taking place with key partners around contract delivery	Unlikely Medium (3 x 3) = Score 9	CE

Risk Likelihood Key - Risk meets at least one of the criteria from the columns below

Score -1	Score - 2	Score - 3	Score – 4	Score - 5
Highly Unlikely	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Definite
Previous experience at	Previous experience	The Council has in the past	The Council has	The council is currently
this & other similar	discounts this risk as being	experienced problems in	experienced problems in	experiencing problems in
organisations makes this	unlikely to occur but other	this area but not in the past	this area in the last three	this area or expects to
outcome highly unlikely	organisations have	three years.	years.	within the next 12 months.
to occur.	experienced problems.			

Risk Impact Key - Risk meets at least one of the criteria from the columns below

Risk Impact	Score -1	Score - 2	Score - 3	Score – 4	Score - 5
	Negligible	Low	Medium	High	Very High
PRIORITIES	No impact on the	It may cost more or	A number of Council	The majority of Council	Unable to deliver all
	delivery of the	delay in delivery of one	priorities would be	priorities would be	Council priorities
	Council's corporate	of the Council's	delayed or not	delayed or not	
	objectives	priorities	delivered	delivered	
FINANCIAL	Little or no financial	The financial impact	The financial impact	The financial impact	The financial impact
	impact (less than	would be no greater	would be no greater	would be no greater	would be greater than
	£5k)	than £25k	than £100k	than £500k	£500k
SERVICE	Council services are	Some temporary	Regular disruption to	Severe service	Serve disruption to the
IMPACT	no disrupted	disruption of activities of	the activities of one or	disruption or regular	activities of all Council
		one Council service	more Council service	disruption affecting	services
				more than one service	
INFORMATION	Minor, none	Embarrassment, none	Isolated, personal	Severe personal details	All personal details
	consequential	last effecting	details compromised	compromised	compromised
PUBLIC	No loss of confidence	Some loss of	A general loss of	A major loss of	A disastrous loss of
ENGAGEMENT	and trust in the	confidence and trust in	confidence and trust in	confidence and trust in	confidence and trust in
	Council	the Council felt by a	the Council within the	the Council within the	the Council locally and
		certain group or within a	local community	local community	nationally
		small geographical area			
REPUTATION	No media attention	Disciplinary action	Adverse coverage in	Adverse coverage in	Front page new story in
		against employee	local press	National press/Front	National Press
				page news locally	